

<https://doi.org/10.70731/axamnf16>

Nomadism in a Becoming-Labyrinth in Pynchon's *The Crying of Lot 49*

Yiman Chen ^{a,*}, Shanshan Yang ^{b,*}, Zhaoyan Li ^a

^a Northwest University, Xi'an 710127, China

^b Yonsei University, Seoul 03722, South Korea

KEYWORDS

Becoming-Labyrinth;
Rhizome; Nomadic Subject;
Decentering;
Crisis of Modernity;
Postmodern Narrative

ABSTRACT

Thomas Pynchon's novel *The Crying of Lot 49* constructs a wholly new "Becoming-Labyrinth" narrative via the tangled underground postal system known as Tristero. Unlike the linear structure of a traditional labyrinth, in which a hero is guided to a central truth, Pynchon's *Becoming-Labyrinth* thrives on rupture, drift, and uncertainty. The protagonist Oedipa Maas continually wanders nomadically through fragmented clues and decentered spaces, embodying the modern individual's existential plight adrift between discourses of power and illusions of truth. Grounded in Deleuze and Guattari's theories of rhizomes, deterritorialization, nomadism, and becoming, this paper examines how Oedipa navigates an ever-expanding network of meaning within the *Becoming-Labyrinth*, revealing how Pynchon's rhizomatic writing resists the grand narratives and rationalist centers of modernity. In the end, the novel denies any possibility of reaching a final truth and instead points toward an endless process of meaning-generation, thereby constructing a pluralistic, open, and politically resistant postmodern aesthetic paradigm.

INTRODUCTION

Thomas Pynchon's 1966 novel *The Crying of Lot 49* is one of his most experimentally daring early works. Though brief, it deploys a highly condensed narrative structure and an intricate system of symbols to deliver a searing critique of modernity. The protagonist, Oedipa Maas, is unexpectedly named executor of the estate of her late ex-lover and real-estate magnate Pierce Inverarity. She travels to San Narciso to settle his affairs, only to discover that Pierce's tangled holdings are entwined with an underground postal organization called Tristero. The Tristero network inverts and subverts the official order of mainstream society, and Oedipa's task of sorting out the estate becomes a journey through this

labyrinth in search of understanding—ultimately drawing her into a hidden web woven from symbols, clues, texts, and riddles.

This web exhibits the structural hallmarks of a labyrinth, yet it departs sharply from the linear pattern of the traditional myth—where a hero, aided by a single guide, traverses corridors to reach a definitive center of truth. Jacques Attali, in *Chemins de Sagesse : Traité du Labyrinthe*, distinguishes between "a maze that can be walked out" and "a maze from which one can't escape" (Attali, 1999: XXVII). In the former, any patient explorer may eventually find the way out; in the latter, wanderers are doomed to remain lost. Tristero is unmistakably an inescapable labyrinth. It is riddled with circuitous dead ends—what one might call "Roundabout paths"—that

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: yss337@yonsei.ac.kr

lead Oedipa Maas ever further astray. As she follows successive clues offered by different guides, each thread inevitably fractures, trapping her in ever-shifting passages. Yet just as each lead collapses, a new guide—and a fresh cluster of Ariadne wires—appears, only for the narrative to cut off before their direction can be fully revealed. In this context, the clues Oedipa encounters are intrinsically fragmented and discontinuous; the guides themselves are multiple and fluid; and the Ariadne's thread no longer delivers her to a clear center or singular truth but continually spawns new ambiguities and bewilderments.

Each new clue Oedipa uncovers deepens her uncertainty, drawing her further into a web with no final destination. Pynchon, by scattering multiple, contradictory leads, undermines both the reader's and the protagonist's quest for a determinate truth, thereby exposing the anxiety and bewilderment of modernity. The novel thus presents—in both its diegesis and its reception—a defining feature of contemporary society: indeterminacy, which mirrors the spiritual disorientation of its inhabitants. This “inescapable labyrinth” is not only realized through the text's nonlinear, decentered structure but also serves as Pynchon's metaphorical critique of the modern subject's epistemological predicament and the mechanisms of social control. By deploying an ever-shifting, indefinitely deferred network of meanings, the narrative dismantles the classical clue-truth-resolution logic (Doob, 1992; Eco, 2014), drawing both protagonist and reader into an unending interpretive game. Such a repudiation of fixed truths and deconstruction of grand narratives heralds the emergence of a postmodern aesthetic paradigm in which the “labyrinth” itself becomes the embodied fissure of modernity and the crisis of signification.

LABYRINTHINE SPACE—TRISTERO

Labyrinth, as a cross-cultural symbolic structure, has long embodied the complex interplay of order and chaos, center and boundary, knowledge and power. Archaeological and anthropological research shows that, “the labyrinth can never be reduced to some sort of local epiphenomenon, we find it occurring everywhere over thousands of years. Amazingly similar patterns recur Africa and America” (Attali, 1999:XXII). The term “labyrinth” (or “maze”) derives from the ancient Greco-Roman world and is traditionally defined as a “system of intricate passageways and blind alleys” (Encyclopedia Britannica, n.d.) and also denotes buildings that are completely or partially on the ground and contain many rooms and passageways that are difficult to walk out of.

One of the earliest large-scale material exemplars is the “Egyptian Labyrinth” at Hawara (Hall, 1905), erected in the late Twelfth Dynasty (c.1840 – 1760 BCE) (Oppenheim et al., 2015). Herodotus relates that it pos-

sessed “twelve roofed courts, ... double sets of chambers, three thousand altogether, fifteen hundred above and the same number under ground” (Herodotus, 1920, 2.148) accommodating administrative assemblies, religious rites, and funerary functions alike. In its final form the complex served as the mortuary palace of Pharaoh Amenemhat III, and its intricate corridors were imagined as a sacred barrier separating the king's spirit from the mundane world. Greek myth transforms this architectural marvel into a symbolic narrative: on Crete, King Minos orders the master artisan Daedalus to replicate the Egyptian design at Knossos, creating an immense labyrinth to confine the Minotaur, a bull-headed monster born of Queen Pasiphaë and the sea-god Poseidon. Every nine years Minos compels Aegeus, king of Athens, to send seven boys and seven girls as tribute for the creature's voracious appetite. The hero Theseus volunteers to sail with the victims; guided by the “clew of thread” bestowed by Minos's daughter Ariadne, he slays the Minotaur and escapes the maze with the princess. Because Ariadne falls in love with the foreigner and thus betrays her father and homeland, the labyrinth comes to signify desire, errancy, and the struggle to overcome evil and find the single true path toward a central (Padel, 1996), absolute value—it is at once a passage through bewilderment and mortal peril and a journey toward renewal.

This myth condenses into a four-part narrative matrix—hero, guide, quest, and labyrinthine space (Attali, 1999)—that Western literature repeatedly re-orchestrates. The *Odyssey* recasts the labyrinth as the Aegean archipelago and the walled city of Troy, with the “Trojan Horse” functioning as an Ariadne-like thread that slices through the maze. In the medieval *Divine Comedy*, Beatrice leads Dante along a spiraling itinerary through Hell, Purgatory, and Paradise, elevating the labyrinth to a pilgrimage of spiritual redemption (Eternalised, 2024). This structure has become both a metaphorical mechanism and the prototype of the “knowledge labyrinth” in the Western cultural imagination. From a psychoanalytic perspective, the labyrinth figures a mental journey of desire - disorientation - epiphany - rebirth; from a narratological angle, it offers a generative template that can be endlessly recombined. The traditional core mission—slay the monster, reach the center, and emerge renewed—is not merely an act of violent conquest but a multidimensional inquiry into identity, ethical choice, and the limits of knowledge. By contrast, Thomas Pynchon's *The Crying of Lot 49* enacts a distinctly post-modern labyrinth. Here, Tristero functions as an ever-shifting maze without fixed boundaries, subverting the classical hero-quest-exit triad. The novel's labyrinth is a fabric of signs, symbols, and insinuations that mirrors the precarious positions of marginalized groups—queer communities, drifters, ethnic minorities—whose disorientation and downward spirals converge to form the very core of

Tristero's maze: a space saturated with desire and bifurcating paths.

Unlike the traditional labyrinth narrative—where a heroic figure ultimately negotiates the maze—Thomas Pynchon's *The Crying of Lot 49* centers on Oedipa Maas, a middle-class housewife who is anything but heroic. She epitomizes the modern nomad, a subject marked by disorientation and ceaseless search. Oedipa's journey is devoid of a clear "hero's thread"; instead, she is steered by highly symbolized signs such as the cryptic postal network W.A.S.T.E. Whereas classical labyrinth tales culminate in the hero's triumphant solution, Oedipa never discovers an exit, nor any final truth or enlightenment. She becomes ensnared in boundless chaos and uncertainty. In this way, the novel constructs a distinctly post-modern labyrinthine narrative—one whose very form reflects the fragmentation and lostness characteristic of the post-modern condition.

The Tristero kingdom runs an underground postal network whose emblem is a post-horn fitted with a mute and whose operations are conducted under the acronym W.A.S.T.E. According to the novel's pseudo-history, this marginal communications system originated in sixteenth-century Europe and was transplanted to the United States in the nineteenth century, expressly to serve those excluded from mainstream society. To signal membership and to mock the state's monopoly over the mail, Tristero deliberately issues counterfeit stamps that closely resemble official U.S. postage yet carry subversive alterations in their imagery and denomination. As key artefacts of Pierce Inverarity's estate, these symbols both furnish Oedipa with clues for her investigation and announce Tristero's systematic subversion of "official space" and state discourse.

Tristero's iconography—above all the muted post-horn, makes the everyday cityscape itself labyrinthine through its very ubiquity and recursion. At first Oedipa assumes the emblem surfaces only in San Narciso or San Francisco; yet when she returns to her own middle-class suburb of Kinneret she discovers that even her psychiatrist—and perhaps her husband—may be linked to Tristero. Wherever she goes the post-horn reappears. The symbol thus becomes a looping detour that stitches together disparate cities and social strata, a path that perpetually folds back on itself and never opens onto an exit. Tristero possesses no chartable territory; instead, by saturating the built environment with its signs it labyrinthises' reality. Caught in this rhizomatic network, Oedipa wanders like a classical hero on a maze-quest, yet one that can never arrive at a center or definitive truth.

RHIZOMATIC GUIDES

Oedipa's "maze-quest" in *The Crying of Lot 49* is not directed by a single Ariadnean thread; rather, it is pulled

along by a constellation of ever-emerging, splitting, and relinking "rhizomatic guides". These figures and signs fulfil Deleuze and Guattari's three principles—connection & heterogeneity, multiplicity, and asignifying rupture—and together constitute a decentralized navigation system that fractures the classical labyrinth's linear logic into an open network perpetually ready to be rewritten (Deleuze & Guattari, 1987).

Attorney Metzger is the first to usher Oedipa into the thicket of Pierce's semiotic estate. He draws her attention to the counterfeit stamps and to the clandestine W.A.S.T.E. labels, then steers her to the bar "The Scope", where the restroom graffiti exposes her—for the very first time—to the muted post-horn, the maze's central emblem. Yet Metzger soon vanishes (Pynchon, 2006: 24-28). His guiding trajectory thus foregrounds the rhizome's principle of asignifying rupture: any given thread may terminate abruptly at one node, only to pick up unexpectedly elsewhere.

The *Courier's Tragedy* functions as Oedipa's second guide. The half-spoken hints of the teenagers in the Echo Courts lounge spark her curiosity, so she goes to see the play and then questions its director, Randolph Driblette, about textual anomalies. A single disputed line alerts her to the existence of three distinct versions of the script, and the ensuing hunt becomes a fresh episode of territorialization: She searches a second-hand bookstore, learns that a ninety-year-old collector named Mr. Thoth possesses the "original text," and drives to the Twilight Home where he lives. Thoth, wearing a gold ring engraved with W.A.S.T.E., recounts a fragment of Native-American massacre lore and explains that the ring was sliced from an Indian's finger by his grandfather. Through these shards, Oedipa uncovers another layer of the Tristero postal system's covert history: in early-modern Europe two rival networks—Thurn-and-Taxis and Tristero—contested the mails; the latter was officially "defeated," yet it survived in disguise and re-emerged in nineteenth-century California, loyal to its founding mission of serving those shut out of the mainstream. Tristero not only brandishes its own emblem but also commands a dispersed body of believers and users. When the second-hand shop burns down and both Thoth and Driblette die, the deterritorialization of *The Courier's Tragedy* comes to an abrupt halt. The divergent scripts, however, have already performed the rhizome's principle of multiplicity: each textual branch can cross-link laterally with any other, throwing off new clusters of meaning, yet never coalescing into a single, authoritative source.

On the streets of San Francisco—the third guide in Oedipa's maze—the muted post-horn crops up everywhere. Spotting a lapel pin stamped with the emblem, she tails its wearer into a gay bar called *The Greek Way*. The man explains that the pin is the badge of an outfit known only as IA; its members communicate exclusively by telephone numbers routed through W.A.S.T.E. and never meet face-to-face. He recounts

IA's founding tale: a former Yoyodyne executive, replaced by an IBM 7094 computer, placed a newspaper plea for help but received nothing but a bundle of letters from botched suicides delivered by a ragged old courier. Still bereft of reasons to live, the read of a Vietnamese monk's self-immolation, fetched gasoline, and prepared to follow suit. Just then his wife returned, trysting with an efficiency expert who blurted: "Nearly three weeks it takes him... to decide. You know how long it would've taken the IBM 7094? Twelve microseconds. No wonder you were replaced" (Pynchon, 2006, p. 48). The would-be suicide roared with laughter for ten minutes; as the flame-soaked envelopes curled, the post-horn bled through the stamps. He vowed: "My fatal error was love. Henceforth I will love no one—male, female, dog, cat, car, or thing. I shall found an association of the utterly alone, and this mark revealed to me by the gasoline that almost destroyed me shall be its sign" (Pynchon, 2006, pp. 416–417). Since then, IA—an anonymous network of failed suicides—exchanges messages solely via W.A.S.T.E. numbers, never congregating in person. The episode dramatizes a rhizomic line of flight: its members flee the disciplinary grids of mainstream society (Salami & Rahmani, 2018) through anonymized communication, yet the post-horn emblem simultaneously reinscribes them within Tristero's cartography. As lines of flight and reterritorialization recur, the labyrinth sheds its mappable edges and unfolds as a boundless, origin-less web.

The labyrinth's complexity mirrors the complexity of human fate and of our systems of knowledge. In a postmodern frame, however, that complexity is no longer orderly or hierarchical; it is a ceaselessly generating, center-less, rhizomatic network. Oedipa's passage through the labyrinth proves even more intricate than any classical heroes. She has no reliable guide. A swarm of clues keeps erupting; whenever she seems close to the truth a thread snaps, only for new threads to surface, and she becomes hopelessly entangled in them. Every rhizome is threaded with segmentary lines — routes where it becomes layered, territorialized, organized, and given meaning. At the same time, it is shot through with deterritorializing lines that perpetually break away. Whenever one stratified line erupts into a line of flight, the rhizome undergoes a rupture, yet that fleeing line still belongs to the rhizome. All these lines weave back into one another without end, sustaining the rhizome's restless mesh. As Deleuze writes, they are "intensive variations and unlimited lines of flight" (Deleuze & Guattari, 1987, p. 9). Like Deleuze's rhizome, the network obeys the principle of connection and heterogeneity (any point can and must connect with any other), the principle of multiplicity (Deleuze & Guattari, 1987, p. 8), and the principle of asignifying rupture (Deleuze & Guattari, 1987, p. 9). It thus presented a decentered, deconstructive post-modernity.

These rhizomatic guides ceaselessly deterritorialize the landscape, blurring Oedipa's judgement and erod-

ing any claim to stable truth, yet they never sever their ties to her—or to the maze itself. Like a rhizome, each broken thread immediately sprouts new shoots. No longer does a single Ariadnean filament lead the protagonist toward a fixed revelation; instead, the guides remain inside Tristero's labyrinth as open, ever-generating formations with no beginning and no end. By deploying such guides, Pynchon dismantles the grand, linear narrative and replaces it with rootlessness, celebrating difference and plurality. A guide can surface at any moment, vanish just as suddenly, and still interlink with every other clue, continually spawning fresh paths and conversations. In this way the novel stages a meditation on modern humanity's search for vital meaning in a world where all lines are provisional and forever in flux.

THE NOMAD IN THE LABYRINTH

Oedipa's quest can be read as a nomadic passage along what Deleuze and Guattari call the three "lines of life" — the rigid line, the supple line, and the line of flight. According to Deleuze and Guattari, lines constitute all the things in the world. Both individuals or groups, they are made of lines. "They put forward three kinds of lines: the molecular and supple line, the molar segmented line or rigid line, and the nomadic line of flight" (Deleuze & Guattari, 1987, p. 202). Along Oedipa's quest, fragmentary signs such as counterfeit stamps, the muted post-horn, and W.A.S.T.E. logos act like sutures, linking otherwise separate scraps of experience and constantly spawning new events. Bits of information scattered throughout the city knit themselves together, gradually assembling Tristero's labyrinth; as ever more fragments attach and spill outward, the labyrinth's perimeter keeps expanding, until it becomes a site where meaning can proliferate without limit.

Oedipa's departure from her habitual life to administer Pierce Inverarity's estate marks a shift from the rigid, molar line to the supple, molecular line. In Deleuze and Guattari's terms, this is the moment when the subject's territorial boundaries first loosen. Counterfeit stamps and the muted post-horn flash across her field of vision, intimating the presence of another order system. At this stage, Oedipa's deterritorialization is neutral—what Deleuze calls a relative deterritorialization carried out along the second, supple line.

As she pursues these fragments further, Oedipa abandons her middle-class routines and finally reaches the third line—the line of flight—where she encounters a heterotopic domain: Tristero, an underground network of marginal communities signified by the muted post-horn and the name W.A.S.T.E. Shuttling back and forth across all three lines, she repeatedly deterritorializes and reconnects them, letting Tristero generate ever-expanding layers of meaning; this labyrinthine, corridor-

ridden space, in turn, reflects the modern subject's restlessness, bewilderment, and disorientation.

Oedipa passes from one rhizomatic entry point to another rhizomatic exit: every fresh clue marks a new territorialization, and every attempt to pursue that clue demands a deterritorialization in search of a way out—yet the thread invariably breaks somewhere along the line. Shaken by excessive information, she longs to retreat to the first, rigid line and to seek help from her former life; so she leaves Pierce's San Narciso and returns to her comfortable middle-class suburb. But as the W.A.S.T.E. network of clues erupts and multiplies—Metzger's guidance falters, Driblette dies, the stranger in San Francisco vanishes—the cycle of “rupture and reconnection” propels her onto the line of flight. Tristero's signs spread laterally like a rhizome: any node can open onto a new path, yet none can coalesce into a single center.

She enters a state of absolute deterritorialization the moment her former life ceases to offer any place of return. At first she pins her hopes on Dr Hilarius's professional salvation, only to discover that the psychiatrist is more deeply insane than his patients; Mucho, meanwhile, is rendered equally useless by hallucinogens. With both avenues of help abruptly closed, Oedipa sets out once more—alone—back to San Narciso, hoping to find an exit inside Tristero's maze. At this point the labyrinth is no longer an obstacle to overcome but becoming itself—a process that can be approached forever yet never completed. This second flight signals that she no longer has any fragments or any territorialization. Oedipa throws herself wide open, letting Tristero's web of signs re-weave her very being; in that surrender she experiences a perpetual flux of becoming and confronts the modern subject's rootlessness and disorientation.

Oedipa moves like a nomad—forever crossing borders yet finding no place to settle. In her pursuit of Tristero she never stops gathering fresh clues and probing for new breakthroughs. Within a Deleuze/Guattari framework, nomadism is not only spatial but also temporal: it names a mode of becoming—a continuously proliferating, ever-shifting multiplicity. Tristero, therefore, is not a fixed “location” at all; it is a generative network that can expand at any moment, a rhizomatic collage stitched together by counterfeit stamps, the muted post-horn, W.A.S.T.E. emblems, and other fleeting guides.

In any rhizomatic open system, being is never static; it is a continual process of becoming. Deleuze and Guattari argue that becoming entails breaking existent forms, subjects, and organs into streams of particles and then weaving relations of speed and slowness, motion and rest among them. From this particle-flux arises the lines of flightpaths of deterritorialization. Becoming is therefore always underway, never finished: to exist is to change. Remedios Varo's painting *Bordando el manto terrestre* (Embroidering the Earth's Mantle) gives the idea a vivid image: imprisoned at the top of a circular

tower, young women embroider a tapestry that slips through a narrow window and tries vainly to fill the void outside—“the tapestry was the world” (Pynchon, 2006, p. 11). When Oedipa first sees the canvas, she realizes that her own “tower” is merely another territory; fleeing it cannot bring her to a true “outside,” because the void can be filled only by the dynamic world generated from her own internal multiplicity of rhizomes. Deleuze reminds us that only by becoming a nomad, escaping the coding of power, can an individual enter this rhizomatic realm of endlessly proliferating meaning and avoid lapsing into nihilism. Oedipa's journey, then, is not a search for an exit but an attempt to experience and enact the possibilities of becoming within an endlessly generative labyrinth.

By overturning the deep structure of the labyrinth tale, Thomas Pynchon dismantles the Cartesian model of cognition and recasts it as a nomadic archaeology of knowledge. When Oedipa tries to crack Pierce Inverarity's estate by applying the linear logic of classic detective fiction—gather clues, trace connections, unveil the truth—she encounters instead an endlessly proliferating chain of signifiers: the Tristero emblem may point to an actual resistance network, a madman's hallucination, or a simulacrum generated by capital's own circuitry. This produces a double paradox for the modern nomad. Her mobility is at once a forced drift under the pressure of global capitalism (Oedipa's investigation is shadowed throughout by real-estate speculation) and a deliberate flight from the tyranny of absolute truth. Once the traditional maze-center—Truth—is hollowed out, the nomad's path no longer needs to aim at a final destination; it improvises temporary dwellings in the folds of signs. This mode of being echoes Zygmunt Bauman's diagnosis of “liquid modernity”, in which stable structures are continually dissolved and individuals must improvise their dwelling amid uncertainty (Lee, 2005). Thus, when Oedipa finally confronts the Tristero system—one that might open a path to “Another America”—she opts to suspend judgment, neither embracing it outright nor rejecting it altogether. Such a stance offers the most candid response to the post-modern epistemic quandary: truth is no longer waiting at the maze's exit; it lives only in the endless work of interpretation.

TRISTERO OF BECOMING

Pynchon's Tristero is not a labyrinth with a single exit but a self-generating, kinetic network that moves through successive cycles of territorialization, deterritorialization, and reterritorialization. Each act of territorialization occurs when Oedipa captures a fresh clue in the urban fabric; deterritorialization follows as she interrogates and dismantles the clue's provisional center of meaning; reterritorialization then fills the resultant fissure with new symbols and pathways, extending the web and spawning fresh possibilities. Tristero is not

simply a site of obstruction and bafflement; it is a perpetually widening semantic grid in which old links are cut and new ones surface, branching into rhizomatic multiplicities. Entering this maze no longer leads toward a single telos—its possible endpoints multiply, continually redefining both the labyrinth itself and the seeker who traverses it.

Oedipa's entire pursuit can be read as a concrete rehearsal of the territorialization–deterritorialization–reterritorialization loop. Each time she seizes a new sign, she carves out a provisional territory within the city's fragmented spaces. First, the muted post-horn she spots on the street leads her to a macabre enterprise that fashions cigarette filters from human bone. Almost at once, a cryptic line in *The Courier's Tragedy* flings her toward three mutually incompatible versions of the script, shattering the prior territory and plunging meaning into a new phase of deterritorialization. Next, the counterfeit stamps in Pierce's will and the stark "WASTE" logo on a trash can activate one another, forming a new nodal point that directs Oedipa to a mysterious buyer—yet just as the veil is about to lift, the narrative cuts off. This ceaseless rhythm of rupture and reassembly keeps her roaming inside a self-propagating web of significance. For the reader, the sensation of endless diffusion is precisely what makes Tristero's labyrinth feel boundless.

Within the Tristero paradox, every clue Oedipa grasps triggers a fresh deterritorialization: she immediately interrogates and dismantles the meaning-center that has just taken shape, only to watch it collapse when the trail breaks off. Bereft of bearings, she latches onto another cluster of signs and is hurled into the next cycle of deterritorialization, roaming inside a self-replicating, never-sealed web of symbols. She inhabits a world with no fixed foothold, where the center is forever on the move: whenever she nears what appears to be a stable nucleus of meaning, it slides away or simply vanishes, leaving yet another fragile center for her to pursue (Olsen, 1983). The loop exposes Tristero's landscape as one of perpetual deterritorialization / reterritorialization: every "center" is a surface effect, while the only constant is ceaseless drift itself.

Through each new act of deterritorialization, Oedipa confronts one grotesque episode after another and gradually pieces together Tristero's clandestine, eight-century itinerary. From the thirteenth century onward, Tristero stood as a rival to the Holy Roman Empire's official courier, Thurn and Taxis; after the Reformation and the French Revolution it slipped underground. By the mid-nineteenth century the network had migrated to the New World, waging a covert battle against the United States' own Pony Express— "From the battle of Austerlitz until the difficulties of 1848, the Tristero drifted on, deprived of nearly all the noble patronage that had sustained them; now reduced to handling anarchist correspondence; only peripherally engaged" (Pynchon, 2006, pp. 142–143). In modern America the under-

ground post has insinuated itself into the social capillaries: the gay bar *The Greek Way*, the anarchist haunts *The Scope*, the shop-floor at *Yoyodyne*, a cheap Mexican restaurant, the teenagers of *Echo Courts*, the pensioners at *Vesperhaven*, even Black neighborhoods echoing with muted post-horns, Chinatown, and inner-city slums. For such marginalized communities the official mail has long since lost meaning, and Tristero has become a "ghost network," quietly binding together those forgotten by the system—while steering Oedipa ever deeper into an endless labyrinth of interpretation.

When Oedipa's trail carries her into queer bars, cheap Mexican diners, Black neighborhoods, and hippie motels, she realizes she has crossed into an alien territory: the urban codes familiar to a middle-class consciousness—banks, offices, suburban households—cease to function here. As Deleuze and Guattari note, any delimited "segment" locks the subject inside a compound of imposed coding and territorialization; every apparatus of power is a machine that simultaneously classifies and encloses (Deleuze & Guattari, 1987, p. 504). Different social groups are thereby walled off by symbolic fortifications: the prevailing codes and over-codes form layer upon layer of "iron houses", nailing people to seemingly impassable walls of meaning. Yet these apparently unbreakable lines yield only through deterritorialization. As clues fracture and recombine, Oedipa is forced to abandon her middle-class viewpoint and enter the subaltern network signified by Tristero—a parallel system that recognizes itself through muted post-horns, clandestine mail, and underground symbols. It is within this continual process of de- and reterritorializing that the novel exposes a deep tension between two social spaces: the stable center of official mail and middle-class order, and the nomadic edge communication fashioned by those expelled from the mainstream. In other words, only deterritorialization can tear a hole in the symbolic wall, allowing new subject positions and chains of meaning to emerge—and bringing the structural conflict between Tristero and the bourgeois world into full view.

At the novel's close, Oedipa's question—"Who, exactly, owns the America inscribed in Pierce's will?"—shifts the focus from a private puzzle to a public structure. The query lays bare the depth of racial inequity in the 1960s and gestures toward Pynchon's hopes for a more pluralistic society. Setting the story in 1964 proves crucial: the year still carries the oppressive residue of 1950s McCarthyism while foreshadowing the cultural radicalism soon to crest, hinting at an imminent historical fracture. Oedipa glimpses the roots of America's racial injustice and feels a flicker of reformist zeal. She considers handing part of the estate directly to nameless, oppressed people. If Tristero still exists—hidden, isolated, yet waiting—she may even join its ranks and use legal means to pry the system open. In that thought experiment, Oedipa stops being merely an executor; instead, she envisions herself as a potential Tristero

recruit. Her budding identification with this underground network, combined with a yearning for social change, leaves the novel's open ending resonating as an unfinished summons (Barros-Grela & Bobadilla-Pérez, 2014).

Tristero is best understood as a "generative labyrinth"—a symbolic apparatus that continually reproduces itself and never fully closes. It functions both as a metaphor for the fluid architecture of post-modern society and as a reflexive response to the dilemmas of modernity. Its generative nature first appears in the relentless expansion of its signifying web and the constant slippage of meaning: Oedipa's inquiry oscillates between approaching the truth and watching that truth dissolve. The W.A.S.T.E. watermark on counterfeit stamps, the fractured lines from *The Courier's Tragedy*, and the murky insignia of an underground postal system all seem to confirm Tristero's existence—yet each clue instantly splits into fresh enigmas that propel her into another round of interpretation. Pynchon thereby exposes the paradox embedded in Enlightenment rationality: the linear pursuit of truth sets off an endless cascade of signifiers, revealing that truth itself cannot be fixed. Tristero's labyrinth, then, is not merely a spatial trap; it is a device that lays bare the structural contradictions of modernity and compels readers to reconsider the status of reason, order, and truth.

Tristero's "generative labyrinth" ultimately takes root in the breakdown of language itself. The novel brims with cryptic codes, like W A S T E, N A D A, K C U F, which look airtight yet sever the bond between signifier and signified. N.A.D.A. is both the "National Automobile Dealers' Association" and, in Spanish, plain "nothing". The W A S T E mailbox leads to "Kirby", a label that proves equally hollow. Pynchon thus sketches a post-modern arena where language circles back on itself and cannot anchor reality: the world becomes an absurd word-game. In this maze, the classical logic of a hero arriving at truth is cancelled. Oedipa drifts from detective-style verification toward a nomadic life inside the folds of signification. She abandons any finish line and learns to dwell in limitless interpretation. Tristero therefore reads as an irony on instrumental reason: Enlightenment thinking sought to impose order by classifying and controlling, yet the labyrinth's entropic spread reveals that "order" is itself a construct of power. Truth no longer waits at the end; it flares up for an instant—and is gone—in the very act of interpretation. By exposing the collapse of grand narrative, Pynchon opens an indeterminate aesthetic path for reconstructing meaning amid fragmentation.

Funding Statement This research was funded by the Scientific Research Program of Shaanxi Education Department (Grant No. 21JK0373).

Author Contributions **Yiman Chen:** Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Resources, Methodology, Conceptualization; **Shan-shan Yang:** Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Resources; **Zhaoyan Li:** Writing – review & editing.

References

1. Attali, J. (1999). *Labyrinth in Culture and Society: Pathways to Wisdom* (J. Rowe, Trans.). North Atlantic Books, U.S.
2. Barros-Grela, E., & Bobadilla-Pérez, M. (2014). Narrative Schizophrenia in Pynchon's *The Crying of Lot 49*. *Revista de Lenguas Modernas*, 20, Article 20. <https://revistas.ucr.ac.cr/index.php/rml/article/view/14962>
3. Deleuze, G., & Guattari, F. (1987). *A Thousand Plateaus: Capitalism and Schizophrenia*. University of Minnesota Press.
4. Doob, P. R. (1992). *The Idea of the Labyrinth: From Classical Antiquity through the Middle Ages*. Cornell University Press.
5. Eco, U. (2014). *From the Tree to the Labyrinth: Historical Studies on the Sign and Interpretation* (A. Oldcorn, Trans.). Harvard University Press.
6. Encyclopedia Britannica. (n.d.). Labyrinth | History, Design & Uses | Britannica. Labyrinth | History, Design & Uses | Britannica. Retrieved June 17, 2025, from <https://www.britannica.com/technology/labyrinth-architecture>
7. Eternalised. (2024, September 6). Through the Labyrinth: A Journey Through Inner Chaos. Eternalised. <https://eternalisedofficial.com/2024/09/06/the-labyrinth-archetype/>
8. Hall, H. R. (1905). The Two Labyrinths. *The Journal of Hellenic Studies*, 25, 320–337. <https://doi.org/10.2307/624244>
9. Herodotus. (1920). *The histories* (A. D. Godley, Trans.) (Vol. Book2). Loeb Classical Library. https://penelope.uchicago.edu/Thayer/E/Roman/Texts/Herodotus/2b*.html
10. Lee, R. L. M. (2005). Bauman, Liquid Modernity and Dilemmas of Development. *Thesis Eleven*, 83(1), 61–77. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0725513605057137>
11. Olsen, L. (1983). Pynchon's New Nature: The Uncertainty Principle and Indeterminacy in *The Crying of Lot 49*. *Canadian Review of American Studies*, 14(2), 153–163.
12. Oppenheim, A., Arnold, D., Arnold, D., & Yamamoto, K. (Eds.). (2015). *Ancient Egypt Transformed: The Middle Kingdom*. Metropolitan Museum of Art.
13. Padel, R. (1996). Labyrinth of Desire: Cretan Myth in Us. *Arion: A Journal of Humanities and the Classics*, 4(2), 76–87.
14. Pynchon, T. (2006). *The Crying of Lot 49*. Harper Perennial Modern Classics.
15. Salami, A., & Rahmani, R. (2018). Thomas Pynchon's *Against the Day*: A Deleuzian Reading of Pynchon's Language. *Anafora : Časopis Za Znanost o Književnosti*, 5(1.), 121–154. <https://doi.org/10.29162/ANAFORA.v5i1.5>